

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,836	•	04/26/2005	Armin Breitenbach	62804(46701)	8861
26646	7590	05/23/2006		EXAMINER	
KENYON		YON LLP	NAGUBANDI, LALITHA		
ONE BROA NEW YORI		0004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1621	
			DATE MAILED: 05/23/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
			BREITENBACH ET AL.				
Office Action Sum	nmarv	10/532,836	Art Unit				
	•	Examiner					
The MAILING DATE of thi	c communication ann	Lalitha Nagubandi ears on the cover sheet with the c	1621				
Period for Reply	s communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FRC - Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing dat - If NO period for reply is specified above, th - Failure to reply within the set or extended p	DM THE MAILING DA the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 e of this communication. e maximum statutory period w period for reply will, by statute, three months after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH() ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	l. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication	ation(s) filed on						
2a) This action is FINAL.	2b)☐ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>35-69</u> is/are pend	ling in the application	l.					
4a) Of the above claim(s)							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
*	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>35-69</u> are subjec		election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected	ed to by the Examiner						
· — ·	•		xaminer.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
, ,	· ·	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	• •				
11) The oath or declaration is							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
12) Acknowledgment is made	of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) 1							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (F Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5)	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 35-39, drawn to class 560 subclass 1 +.

Group II, claim(s) 40-48, drawn to class 564 and subclass(s) 76 and 316.

Group III claim(s) 49-62, drawn to class 514 and sub class 23

Group IV claim(s) 63-69, drawn to class 424 and sub class 486.

The inventions listed as Groups I, II and III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The search report indicates lack of unity of the invention and states that claim 1 is anticipated by Claus et al (WO 99/58478 A1 dt. Nov. 18th 1999) and thus claim 1 lacks special technical feature that binds all the claims together.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/532,836 Page 3

Art Unit: 1621

For claims 35-39 and 40-48 applicant is required to elect a single compound explicitly disclosed in the examples of the specification. For claims 49-62 applicant is required to elect a single pharmaceutical formulation and for claims 63-69, applicant is required to elect a specific species as well as one method of treatment.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/532,836 Page 4

Art Unit: 1621

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lalitha Nagubandi whose telephone number is 571 272 7996. The examiner can normally be reached on 6.30am to 3.00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K Page can be reached on 571 272 0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lalitha Nagubandi Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600

May 12th, 2006.

Samuel A Barts Ph.D.

5/1/2/2

Primary Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1600